line 3: after "mammal" insert --by

injection -- .

## REMARKS

The amendments to page 1 requested above correct two obvious clerical errors. In formula 1 the substituent on the lower ring nitrogen atom was wrongly shown as "N" rather than "H". There was also an obvious error in "guinea pigs".

To clarify the nature of the invention claimed, it has now been made clear that the mammals referred to in Claim 1 are "small" as disclosed in the last paragraph on page 1. It will be understood in this connection that mammals are classified into "large" mammals such as horses, cattle and human beings, and "small" mammals such as dogs, cats, guinea pigs, rabbits etc. The present invention is concerned with the latter category. It has also been made clear in Claim 1 that the active compound is administered by injection as disclosed in the last paragraph on page 1.

 $\label{eq:Reconsideration} Reconsideration of the Examiner's rejections in the light of the amendments requested above is respectfully requested.$ 

The rejection under the first paragraph of 35 USC 112 has been met by specifying in Claim 1 that the administration is by injection.

The first rejection under 35 USC 103 has been met by making it clear in Claim 1 that the claimed method applies only